

(Cho, Seo-Young. 2016. University of Marburg) www.economics-human-trafficking.net

### The Country-rankings of the 3P Anti-trafficking Policy Index for 2015 Released

- Best Practice of Austria, the UK, and Spain
- Modest Improvement in Protection and Prevention Efforts
- Persistently Weak Law Enforcement in Prosecuting Perpetrators

#### Overview

The country-rankings of the 3P Anti-trafficking Policy Index for 2015 (3P Index, principal investigator: Seo-Young Cho, University of Marburg, Germany) have been released. The 3P Index evaluates the effectiveness of governmental policies against human trafficking in three prime policy areas: prosecution, protection, and prevention (3Ps). The country rankings and policy scores are published every year, covering up to 189 countries worldwide. The ranking list can be found at the end of this report and the full-dataset of the 3P Index from 2000 to 2015 is available on the index project website, <a href="https://www.economics-human-trafficking.net">www.economics-human-trafficking.net</a>.

The evaluation outcome of the 3P Index shows that anti-trafficking policy efforts worldwide have improved marginally in 2015, compared to the previous year. In 2015, the global average of the anti-trafficking policy score was 9.02 on a scale from 3 to 15 ó in which a higher score reflects a better policy outcome. This is a modest increase from 8.88 in 2014 but worse than the score of 9.60 in 2013 (see table 1). Specifically, protection improved from 2.57 in 2014 to 2.75 and prevention from 3.23 to 3.28, but efforts for prosecution declined from 3.06 to 2.99.

In 2015, three European countries ó Austria, the United Kingdom, and Spain ó are the countries rated with a full score of 15 on the 3P Index, meaning that they achieved the maximum score of 5 in all three policy areas. Especially, Spain has been in the best-performing group since 2013,



(Cho, Seo-Young. 2016. University of Marburg)

www.economics-human-trafficking.net

while Austria improved from a total score of 13 and the UK from 12 in 2014 to the full score in 2015.

Table 1. 3P Anti-trafficking Policy Scores Worldwide, 200062015<sup>1</sup>

|      | Prosecution | Protection  | Prevention  | Overall 3P   | Number of           |
|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
|      | (score 1-5) | (score 1-5) | (score 1-5) | (score 3-15) | countries evaluated |
| 2000 | 2.89        | 2.25        | 2.49        | 7.58         | 81                  |
| 2001 | 2.97        | 2.47        | 2.79        | 8.22         | 90                  |
| 2002 | 3.12        | 2.76        | 3.24        | 9.15         | 119                 |
| 2003 | 3.33        | 2.67        | 2.98        | 9.03         | 136                 |
| 2004 | 3.39        | 2.73        | 3.12        | 9.27         | 154                 |
| 2005 | 3.55        | 2.80        | 3.19        | 9.58         | 159                 |
| 2006 | 3.61        | 2.77        | 3.14        | 9.54         | 164                 |
| 2007 | 3.69        | 2.72        | 3.06        | 9.48         | 171                 |
| 2008 | 3.73        | 2.81        | 3.22        | 9.77         | 176                 |
| 2009 | 3.75        | 2.80        | 3.28        | 9.85         | 177                 |
| 2010 | 3.65        | 2.82        | 3.40        | 9.89         | 184                 |
| 2011 | 3.70        | 2.73        | 3.37        | 9.81         | 185                 |
| 2012 | 3.60        | 2.83        | 3.47        | 9.90         | 188                 |
| 2013 | 3.41        | 2.74        | 3.42        | 9.60         | 188                 |
| 2014 | 3.06        | 2.57        | 3.23        | 8.88         | 188                 |
| 2015 | 2.99        | 2.75        | 3.28        | 9.02         | 189                 |

Belgium, the Philippines, Armenia and South Korea form the second best group that achieved an overall score of 14 in 2015. While all of these countries reached a full score of 5 in prevention, they could not accomplish the maximum score of 15 because Belgium and Armenia had a score of 4 for prosecution, and South Korea and the Philippines the same score for protection.

Several developed countries that maintain generally high levels of human rights protection otherwise, however, continuously neglected anti-trafficking policy efforts, despite the fact that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Note: For prosecution, protection, and prevention policies, a score of 1 indicates the lowest level of policy performance while a score of 5 corresponds to a full commitment level. A score of 4 reflects adequate efforts, 3 modest, and 2 inadequate. The scores of the overall 3P Index are the sum of these three policy scores.



(Cho, Seo-Young. 2016. University of Marburg)

www.economics-human-trafficking.net

they are major destination places of human trafficking. Notably, many developed countries lacked proper protection for victims of human trafficking, for instance, USA, the Netherlands, Denmark, Germany, and Italy received a score of 3 in the protection dimension, indicating that these countries failed to ensure  $\pm$ no punishment principleø for victims.

On the other end of the scale, the worst performing countries are Syria, North Korea, Libya, Eritrea, and BES Islands, all of which received a score of 1 in all three policy areas (i.e. every country had an overall score of 3). These results show that these countries did not exercise any meaningful policy actions to combat human trafficking last year. Since 2012, Syria has fallen into this worst group category, and North Korea has belonged to this category since 2013.

#### **3Ps: Prosecution, Protection, and Prevention**

Among the three policy dimensions, countries, on average, demonstrated the highest level of efforts in crime prevention (with a global average score of 3.29), while victim protection showed the lowest level with a score of 2.75 (see figure 1). The global average score of prosecution is 2.99.

For prevention policy, about 45% of the countries invested full or adequate levels of efforts. 14 countries received the maximum score of 5 (full commitments) and 72 countries a score of 4 (adequate level) (see table 2). Less than a quarter of the countries (41) received a score of 2 or 1 ó i.e. inadequate or no effects.

Policy efforts for victim protection have persistently been the weakest one among the three areas since 2000 (see table 1 and figure 2). The policy score of 2.74 implies that most countries implemented a less than modest level of protection efforts last year. In 2015, less than a fifth of the countries (32) ensured amnesty for victims and provided them with proper assistance, which



(Cho, Seo-Young. 2016. University of Marburg)

www.economics-human-trafficking.net

reflects a score of 5 or 4. On the other hand almost 40% of the countries (71) demonstrated inadequate or no efforts to protect victims of human trafficking (i.e. a score of 2 or 1).

Figure 1. Global Average Scores of Prosecution, Protection, and Prevention (2015, 189 countries, policy score of 165)





Figure 2. Development of Prosecution, Protection, and Prevention Worldwide (2000ó2015, max. 189 countries)





(Cho, Seo-Young. 2016. University of Marburg) www.economics-human-trafficking.net

The global average score for prosecution policy in 2015 was 2.99, a slight decline from 3.06 in 2014. This modest level of the average score indicates that most countries did not actively prosecute or convict traffickers. Only 18 countries fulfilled full commitments in both anti-trafficking legislation and enforcement that resulted in a score of 5 in 2015 ó a slight improvement from 13 countries in 2014. On the other hand, 66 countries did not exercise any adequate efforts to prosecute and convict perpetrators of human trafficking and, therefore, received a policy score of either 2 or 1.

Table 2. Distribution of 3P Scores Worldwide, 2015

|       | ]     | Prosecution | 1     | Protection |         |       | Prevention |         |       |
|-------|-------|-------------|-------|------------|---------|-------|------------|---------|-------|
| Score | Freq. | Percent     | Cum.  | Freq.      | Percent | Cum.  | Freq.      | Percent | Cum.  |
| 1     | 20    | 10.58       | 10.58 | 16         | 8.47    | 8.47  | 6          | 3.17    | 3.17  |
| 2     | 46    | 24.34       | 34.92 | 55         | 29.1    | 37.57 | 35         | 18.52   | 21.69 |
| 3     | 57    | 30.16       | 65.08 | 86         | 45.5    | 83.07 | 62         | 32.8    | 54.5  |
| 4     | 48    | 25.4        | 90.48 | 25         | 13.23   | 96.3  | 72         | 38.1    | 92.59 |
| 5     | 18    | 9.52        | 100   | 7          | 3.7     | 100   | 14         | 7.41    | 100   |
| Total | 189   | 100         |       | 189        | 100     |       | 189        | 100     |       |



(Cho, Seo-Young. 2016. University of Marburg) www.economics-human-trafficking.net

### The 3P Anti-trafficking Policy Index

- The 3P Index evaluates governmental performance in fighting human trafficking in the three essential policy areas ó the prosecution of perpetrators of human trafficking, the protection of victims of human trafficking, and the prevention of human trafficking (so-called 3Ps). The 3P Index provides quantitative assessments on anti-trafficking policy performance of governments on a scale from 1 (no efforts) to 5 (full commitments) for each sub-index of the 3Ps and from 3 to 15 for the overall index as the sum of the three sub-indices.
- Anti-trafficking legislation and actual enforcement of the law are particularly important factors when evaluating prosecution policy, while granting amnesty and necessary assistance to victims is the core evaluation criterion for protection policy. Prevention policy is evaluated based on public campaigning against human trafficking, governmental cooperation, and other preventive actions.
- The evaluation is conducted by transforming qualitative information into quantitative measurements using the following two informational sources:
  - Trafficking in Persons Annual Reports (United States Department of State. 2001ó
    Washington DC.)
  - Global Report on Trafficking in Persons (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime.
    2006, 2009, 2012, and 2014. Vienna)
- The 3P Index was developed by Prof. Seo-Young Cho (University of Marburg, Germany) as part of the EU project on *Indexing Trafficking in Human Beings* in 2010; and the country rankings are released every year through the Research Group of the Economics of Human Trafficking at the University of Marburg, headed by the author.



(Cho, Seo-Young. 2016. University of Marburg) www.economics-human-trafficking.net

### • Download Data and Detailed Information on the 3P Index:

www.economics-human-trafficking.net

### Background Paper of the 3P Index:

Cho, Seo-Young, Axel Dreher and Eric Neumayer. 2014. The Determinants of Anti-trafficking Policies ó Evidence from a New Index. *Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 116: 4296 454.

#### • Further Reference of the 3P Index:

Cho, Seo-Young. 2015. Evaluating Policies against Human Trafficking Worldwide 6 An Overview and Review of the 3P Index. *Journal of Human Trafficking* 1 (Inaugural Edition): 86-99.

### Coding Guideline of the 3P Index:

http://www.economics-human-trafficking.net/data-and-reports.html

#### • Contact (Author of the Index):

Dr. Seo-Young Cho

Assistant Professor of Empirical Institutional Economics

Faculty of Business and Economics

Philipps-University of Marburg

Barfuessertor 2

D-35037 Marburg, Germany

Tel. 49 (0)6421-28-23996

Email: seo.cho@wiwi.uni-marburg.de

Web: www.uni-marburg.de/fb02/empinsti



(Cho, Seo-Young. 2016. University of Marburg) www.economics-human-trafficking.net

The 2015 Country Ranking of the 3P Anti-trafficking Policy Index

| Ranking | Code | Country        | Prosecution (score 1-5) | Protection (score 1-5) | Prevention (score 1-5) | Overall 3P (score 3-15) |
|---------|------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1       | AUT  | Austria        | 5                       | 5                      | 5                      | 15                      |
| 1       | GBR  | United Kingdom | 5                       | 5                      | 5                      | 15                      |
| 1       | ESP  | Spain          | 5                       | 5                      | 5                      | 15                      |
| 4       | BEL  | Belgium        | 4                       | 5                      | 5                      | 14                      |
| 4       | PHL  | Philippines    | 5                       | 4                      | 5                      | 14                      |
| 4       | ARM  | Armenia        | 4                       | 5                      | 5                      | 14                      |
| 4       | KOR  | South Korea    | 5                       | 4                      | 5                      | 14                      |
| 8       | GTM  | Guatemala      | 5                       | 3                      | 5                      | 13                      |
| 8       | HRV  | Croatia        | 3                       | 5                      | 5                      | 13                      |
| 8       | AZE  | Azerbaijan     | 5                       | 4                      | 4                      | 13                      |
| 8       | USA  | USA            | 5                       | 3                      | 5                      | 13                      |
| 12      | THA  | Thailand       | 5                       | 3                      | 4                      | 12                      |
| 12      | KSV  | Kosovo         | 4                       | 4                      | 4                      | 12                      |
| 12      | JOR  | Jordan         | 5                       | 3                      | 4                      | 12                      |
| 12      | NLD  | Netherlands    | 5                       | 3                      | 4                      | 12                      |
| 12      | FRA  | France         | 3                       | 4                      | 5                      | 12                      |
| 12      | POL  | Poland         | 5                       | 3                      | 4                      | 12                      |
| 12      | EST  | Estonia        | 4                       | 4                      | 4                      | 12                      |
| 12      | CZE  | Czech Republic | 4                       | 4                      | 4                      | 12                      |
| 12      | DNK  | Denmark        | 5                       | 3                      | 4                      | 12                      |
| 12      | CYP  | Cyprus         | 4                       | 4                      | 4                      | 12                      |
| 12      | DEU  | Germany        | 5                       | 3                      | 4                      | 12                      |
| 12      | TWN  | Taiwan         | 5                       | 3                      | 4                      | 12                      |
| 12      | ROM  | Romania        | 5                       | 3                      | 4                      | 12                      |
| 25      | GEO  | Georgia        | 3                       | 4                      | 4                      | 11                      |
| 25      | ETH  | Ethiopia       | 4                       | 3                      | 4                      | 11                      |
| 25      | BHR  | Bahrain        | 4                       | 3                      | 4                      | 11                      |
| 25      | ALB  | Albania        | 4                       | 3                      | 4                      | 11                      |
| 25      | ITA  | Italy          | 5                       | 3                      | 3                      | 11                      |
| 25      | FIN  | Finland        | 3                       | 3                      | 5                      | 11                      |
| 25      | NOR  | Norway         | 4                       | 4                      | 3                      | 11                      |
| 25      | JPN  | Japan          | 4                       | 3                      | 4                      | 11                      |



(Cho, Seo-Young. 2016. University of Marburg)

| 25 | LVA  | Latvia                 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 11 |
|----|------|------------------------|---|---|---|----|
| 25 | BHS  | Bahamas                | 2 | 5 | 4 | 11 |
| 25 | CAN  | Canada                 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 11 |
| 25 | SVK  | Slovak Republic        | 4 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| 25 | LTU  | Lithuania              | 4 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| 25 | KEN  | Kenya                  | 4 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| 25 | PRT  | Portugal               | 3 | 4 | 4 | 11 |
| 25 | HUN  | Hungary                | 4 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| 25 | AUS  | Australia              | 3 | 4 | 4 | 11 |
| 25 | MEX  | Mexico                 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| 25 | GRC  | Greece                 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| 25 | CHL  | Chile                  | 3 | 4 | 4 | 11 |
| 25 | BGR  | Bulgaria               | 4 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| 25 | KAZ  | Kazakhstan             | 4 | 4 | 3 | 11 |
| 25 | UKR  | Ukraine                | 4 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| 25 | CHN  | China                  | 4 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| 25 | NGA  | Nigeria                | 4 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| 25 | VNM  | Vietnam                | 4 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| 25 | MMR  | Burma/Myanmar          | 4 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| 52 | BIH  | Bosnia and Herzegovina | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| 52 | IRL  | Ireland                | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 |
| 52 | PRY  | Paraguay               | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| 52 | TJK  | Tajikistan             | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| 52 | HND  | Honduras               | 4 | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | TGO  | Togo                   | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| 52 | KWT  | Kuwait                 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | MNE  | Montenegro             | 2 | 3 | 5 | 10 |
| 52 | ISL  | Iceland                | 2 | 4 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | TUR  | Turkey                 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| 52 | SGP  | Singapore              | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | UZB  | Uzbekistan             | 5 | 2 | 3 | 10 |
| 52 | IND  | India                  | 4 | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | NPL  | Nepal                  | 4 | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | CUB  | Cuba                   | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| 52 | ANT  | Netherlands, Antilles  | 2 | 4 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | JAM  | Jamaica                | 3 | 4 | 3 | 10 |
| -  | JANI | Jamaica                | 9 | • | 3 | 10 |



(Cho, Seo-Young. 2016. University of Marburg)

| 52 | COL | Colombia                    | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
|----|-----|-----------------------------|---|---|---|----|
| 52 | BRB | Barbados                    | 2 | 4 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | LAO | Laos                        | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| 52 | ABW | Aruba                       | 2 | 4 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | MDG | Madagascar                  | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | ARG | Argentina                   | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| 52 | SVN | Slovenia                    | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | DOM | Dominican Republic          | 3 | 4 | 3 | 10 |
| 52 | ARE | <b>United Arab Emirates</b> | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | SWE | Sweden                      | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | RWA | Rwanda                      | 2 | 4 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | BWA | Botswana                    | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | LSO | Lesotho                     | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | SAU | Saudi Arabia                | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| 52 | MYS | Malaysia                    | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | MDA | Moldova                     | 4 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| 52 | MNG | Mongolia                    | 4 | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | ZAF | South Africa                | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | SLV | El Salvador                 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | CMR | Cameroon                    | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | NZL | New Zealand                 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | PER | Peru                        | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | ISR | Israel                      | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| 52 | TTO | Trinidad and Tobago         | 3 | 3 | 4 | 10 |
| 93 | MAC | Macau                       | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9  |
| 93 | ATG | Antigua and Barbuda         | 2 | 4 | 3 | 9  |
| 93 | TMP | Timor-Leste                 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9  |
| 93 | TZA | Tanzania                    | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9  |
| 93 | CRI | Costa Rica                  | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9  |
| 93 | BGD | Bangladesh                  | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9  |
| 93 | GHA | Ghana                       | 3 | 2 | 4 | 9  |
| 93 | SLE | Sierra Leone                | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9  |
| 93 | SUR | Suriname                    | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9  |
| 93 | IDN | Indonesia                   | 4 | 2 | 3 | 9  |
| 93 | NER | Niger                       | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9  |
| 93 | PAN | Panama                      | 3 | 2 | 4 | 9  |
|    |     |                             |   |   |   |    |



(Cho, Seo-Young. 2016. University of Marburg)

| 93  | TUN | Tunisia                        | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 |
|-----|-----|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| 93  | BRA | Brazil                         | 4 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
| 93  | URY | Uruguay                        | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| 93  | SRB | Serbia                         | 4 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
| 93  | CHE | Switzerland                    | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| 93  | MKD | Macedonia                      | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| 93  | OMN | Oman                           | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| 93  | AFG | Afghanistan                    | 4 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
| 93  | ECU | Ecuador                        | 4 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
| 93  | CUW | Curacao                        | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 |
| 93  | UGA | Uganda                         | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| 93  | MWI | Malawi                         | 4 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
| 93  | HTI | Haiti                          | 3 | 2 | 4 | 9 |
| 93  | PAK | Pakistan                       | 4 | 2 | 3 | 9 |
| 93  | BFA | Burkina Faso                   | 3 | 3 | 3 | 9 |
| 93  | VCT | St. Vincent and the Grenadines | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 |
| 93  | SEN | Senegal                        | 2 | 4 | 3 | 9 |
| 122 | FJI | Fiji                           | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 |
| 122 | CIV | Cote d´Ivoire                  | 3 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| 122 | BOL | Bolivia                        | 3 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| 122 | GAB | Gabon                          | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 |
| 122 | BLR | Belarus                        | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 |
| 122 | MOZ | Mozambique                     | 3 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| 122 | LUX | Luxembourg                     | 3 | 3 | 2 | 8 |
| 122 | EGY | Egypt                          | 3 | 3 | 2 | 8 |
| 122 | LCA | St. Lucia                      | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 |
| 122 | NIC | Nicaragua                      | 4 | 2 | 2 | 8 |
| 122 | SDN | Sudan                          | 3 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| 122 | HKG | Hong Kong                      | 3 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| 122 | AGO | Angola                         | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 |
| 122 | TKM | Turkmenistan                   | 3 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| 122 | MLT | Malta                          | 2 | 3 | 3 | 8 |
| 122 | QAT | Qatar                          | 3 | 3 | 2 | 8 |
| 122 | LKA | Sri Lanka                      | 2 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| 122 | KHM | Cambodia                       | 2 | 2 | 4 | 8 |
| 140 | ZWE | Zimbabwe                       | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 |



(Cho, Seo-Young. 2016. University of Marburg)

|     |     | 1                             | • | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|-----|-----|-------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| 140 | LBN | Lebanon                       | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| 140 | GNB | Guinea-Bissau                 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 7 |
| 140 | SWZ | Swaziland                     | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| 140 | VEN | Venezuela                     | 2 | 3 | 2 | 7 |
| 140 | TCD | Chad                          | 3 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| 140 | KGZ | Kyrgyz Republic               | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 |
| 140 | MUS | Mauritius                     | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| 140 | FSM | Micronesia                    | 3 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| 140 | GUY | Guyana                        | 3 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| 140 | GMB | Gambia                        | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| 140 | CPV | Cape Verde                    | 2 | 3 | 2 | 7 |
| 140 | BLZ | Belize                        | 3 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| 140 | ZMB | Zambia                        | 3 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| 140 | BRN | Brunei                        | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| 140 | NAM | Namibia                       | 2 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| 140 | LBR | Liberia                       | 2 | 3 | 2 | 7 |
| 157 | SYC | Seychelles                    | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 157 | TON | Tonga                         | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 157 | DZA | Algeria                       | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 157 | CAF | Central African Republic      | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 157 | PLW | Palau                         | 3 | 2 | 1 | 6 |
| 157 | MAR | Morocco                       | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 157 | MDV | Maldives                      | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 157 | ZAR | Congo, Democratic Republic of | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 157 | RMI | Marshall Islands              | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| 157 | SLB | Solomon Islands               | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 |
| 157 | PNG | Papua New Guinea              | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 157 | MRT | Mauritania                    | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 157 | MLI | Mali                          | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 157 | BEN | Benin                         | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 |
| 171 | IRQ | Iraq                          | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 |
| 171 | COG | Congo, Republic of            | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 171 | SSD | South Sudan                   | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 171 | SOM | Somalia                       | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 171 | BHU | Bhutan                        | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 171 | COM | Comoros                       | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
|     |     |                               |   |   |   |   |



(Cho, Seo-Young. 2016. University of Marburg)

|     |     |                   |   | - | - |   |
|-----|-----|-------------------|---|---|---|---|
| 171 | BDI | Burundi           | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 171 | GIN | Guinea            | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 171 | DЛ  | Djibouti          | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| 180 | GNQ | Equatorial Guinea | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 180 | KIR | Kiribati          | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 180 | YEM | Yemen             | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 180 | RUS | Russia            | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 180 | IRN | Iran              | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 185 | BES | BES Islands       | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 185 | PRK | North Korea       | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 185 | LBY | Libya             | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 185 | SYR | Syria             | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 185 | ERI | Eritrea           | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |